THE FIRST SESQUITERPENE LACTONES ESTERIFIED WITH A SESQUITERPENIC ACID*

FERDINAND BOHLMANN, JASMIN JAKUPOVIC, WOLF-RAINER ABRAHAM and CHRISTA ZDERO

Institute for Organic Chemistry, Technical University Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 12, West Germany

(Received 19 December 1980)

Key Word Index—Hypochoeris uniflora; H. glabra; Lactuca floridana; Compositae; Lactuceae; sesquiterpene lactones; guaianolides; lactucin C₁₅-esters.

Abstract—From Hypochoeris glabra two guaianolides esterified with an unusual sesquiterpenic acid were isolated. Proton-catalysed rearrangement of the cyclopropane derivative led to a phenolic acid. The structures were elucidated by high-field ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Further guaianolides were isolated from H. uniflora and Lactuca floridana. These structures were elucidated by spectroscopic methods. The chemotaxonomic situation in the tribe is discussed briefly.

INTRODUCTION

So far not much is known about the chemistry of the tribe Lactuceae. In addition to widespread triterpenes and flavones [1] some more characteristic acetylenic compounds have been isolated from a few species [1] and also several sesquiterpene lactones are reported from eight genera [2-4], mostly guaianolides, but also germacranolides and eudesmanolides. We have now investigated two further *Hypochoeris* species and *Lactuca floridana*. Again all three species afforded guaianolides, two of them esterified with a new type of sesquiterpenic acid.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The roots of Hypochoeris uniflora Vill. afforded in addition to lupeyl acetate and its $\Delta 9,11$ and $\Delta 12,13$ isomers small amounts of the sesquiterpene lactone 3 as could be deduced from the ¹H NMR data (Table 1). Spin decoupling allowed the assignment of all signals and the stereochemistry at C-5-C-8 followed from the couplings observed. Furthermore, the ¹H NMR signals were very similar to those of the aldehyde 2 obtained by oxidation of the corresponding acetate 1 (Table 1). The aerial parts only afforded a complex mixture of triterpenes and fatty acids, which have not been identified. The roots and the aerial parts of Hypochoeris glabra afforded a mixture of two sesquiterpene lactones, both esterified with a sesquiterpenic acid. Careful high-field ¹H NMR investigation led to the structures 6 and 12 (Table 2). Mild saponification of 6 gave lactucin (5), its structure being established by X-ray analysis [5], and the acid 13 in low yields. Consequently, in the ¹H NMR spectrum of 6 the signals of the lactone part could be assigned with certainty and most of the remaining ones by spin decoupling. The presence of the methylene group in conjugation with the ester group clearly followed from the corresponding typical down-field signals. Irradiation of the signal at

The acid 13 is an isomer of the precursor 14 of the lactucin-like lactones, its carbon skeleton being that of the known hydrocarbon α -cubebene. The acid 13 we have named hypoglabric acid. 6 and 12 are the first sesquiterpene lactones to be found esterified with a sesquiterpenic acid.

The aerial parts of Lactuca floridana Gärtn. afforded in addition to squalene, lupeol and its $\Delta 12,13$ isomer lactucin (5), lactucopicrin (4) two similar lactones, the acetates 1 and 10, which, however, could only be separated after manganese dioxide oxidation. The ¹H NMR data of the aldehydes 2 and 11 (Table 1) clearly showed that these lactones again differed in the lactone moiety only, 2 being a methylene lactone and 11 the corresponding 11,13-dihydro compound. The α -orientation of the methyl group, however, was deduced only by analogy and from the chemical shift of H-13, while the couplings $J_{11,13}$ could not be estimated due to overlapping of signals. The roots only afforded the triterpenes lupeyl acetates and its $\Delta 12,13$ -isomer.

The nature of the lactones isolated confirm that lactucin-like guaianolides are probably characteristic for parts of the Lactuceae, where, however, subtribe

^{3.0} ppm showed that it has to be assigned to H-7', which was coupled with a double doublet at 1.48 ppm. The latter was further coupled with a doublet at 2.04 ppm. These signals therefore must be those of H-5' and H-6'. The proposed cyclopropane moiety was supported by the acid-catalysed rearrangement of 6 (see Scheme) to the phenol 7, its structure following from the ¹H NMR spectrum of the corresponding diacetate 8 (Table 2). Inspection of models showed that the proposed stereochemistry agrees best with the couplings observed. Furthermore, the chemical shift of H-14' required a proximity to the C-2 carbonyl; this would not be the case with an α-orientated 10-methyl group. The second lactone (12) differed from 6 only in the nature of the lactone moiety. The methylene protons at C-13 were replaced by a methyl doublet at 1.12 ppm and a doublet quartet at 1.90 ppm. The observed coupling $J_{11,13}$ indicated an α orientated C-11 methyl. Most probably the lactone part is that of jacquinelin [6], where, however, the stereochemistry at C-11 was not assigned.

^{*}Part 356 in the series "Naturally Occurring Terpene Derivatives". For Part 355 see Bohlmann, F. and Kassner, H. (1981) Chem. Ber. (in press).

Table 1.	¹ H NMR spectral	data of compounds 1-3 and 11 (40	00 MHz,
	TMS	as internal standard)	

	1	2	3	11
H-3	6.46 s (br)	6.95 d	6.95 d	6.93 d
H-5)	7.01	$4.11 \ d \ (br)$	$4.12 \ d \ (br)$	4.31 d (br)
H-6 }	3.31 m	2.65 dd	3.67 ff	3.65 dd
H-7	3.26 dddd	3.37 dddd	3.45 dddd	2.5 m
H-8	4.93 ddd	4.95 ddd	5.01 ddd	4.88 ddd
Η-9α	2.50 dd	2.58 dd	2.62 dd	2.5 d
Η-9β	2.74 dd	2.81 dd	2.87 dd	2.81 dd
H-11		_		2.5 m
H-13	6.24 d	6.24 d	6.21 d \	1.36 d
H-13'	5.67 d	5.67 d	5.64 d }	1.30 a
H-14	2.47 s (br) 4.91 d (br)	2.51 s (br)	2.51 s (br)	2.50 s (br)
H-15	4.58 d (br)	10.18 s	10.18 s	10.15 s
OCOR		_	$6.18 \ s \ (br)$	_
			5.72 dq	
			$1.99 \ s \ (br)$	
OAc	2.17 s	2.18 s	_	2.13 s

J (Hz): compound 1: 6,7 = 7,8 = 10.5; 7,13 = 3.5; 7,13' = 3; $8,9\alpha = 10.5$; $8,9\beta = 2.2$; $9\alpha,9\beta = 13.5$; 15,15' = 18. Compounds 2 and 3: 3,5 = 1.5; 5,6 = 7,8 = 10; 7,13 = 3.5; 7,13' = 3; $8\beta,9\alpha = 10$; $8\beta,9\beta = 2.5$; $9\alpha,9\beta = 13$ (OMeacr: $3',4' = 4'_14'_2 \sim 1$); compound 11: 3,15 = 1.2; 5,6 = 6,7 = 7,8 = 10; $8\beta,9\alpha = 11$; $8\beta,9\beta = 2$; $9\alpha,9\beta = 13.5$; 11,13 = 6.5.

$$A = COCH_{2} \longrightarrow OH$$

$$B = \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} O \\ 3 \\ 15 \\ 5 \end{array} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} O \\ 15 \\ 7 \end{array} \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} O \\ 15 \\$$

Table 2. ¹H NMR spectral data of compounds 6-9, 12 and 13 (400 MHz, TMS as internal standard)

	6 (C ₆ D ₆) 50°	7 (CDCl ₃)	8 (CDCl ₃)	9 (CDCl ₃)	12 (C ₆ D ₆)	13
H-3 H-5	6.45 dt 2.01 d (br))	6.46 s (br)	6.41 s (br)	6.95 d 4.13 d (br)	6.51 dt 2.89 d (br)	
H-6 H-7	2.67 dd } 2.55 dddd	3.67 m }	3.67 m 3.20 ddd	3.67 dd	2.56 dd 1.62 m	
H-8 H-9α H-9β H-11	4.70 ddd 2.23 dd 2.16 dd	4.99 ddd 2.75 dd 2.45 dd	4.99 ddd 2.76 dd 2.56 dd	5.05 ddd 2.87 dd 2.58 dd	4.56 ddd 2.17 dd 2.03 dd 1.9 dq	
H-13 ₁ H-13 ₂ H-14 H-15	6.02 d 5.27 d 2.44 s (br) { 4.60 d (br) { 4.17 d (br)	6.03 d 5.25 d 2.46 s (br) {4.89 d (br) 4.57 d (br)	6.03 d 5.26 d 2.45 s (br) 5.22 d (br) 5.02 d (br)	6.2 d 5.59 d } 2.52 s (br) 10.18 s	1.12 d 2.45 s (br) {4.60 d (br) {4.14 d (br)	
H-3' H-5' H-6' H-7'	5.29 dq 1.60 d (br) 1.42 dd 2.93 ddd (br)	6.42 s (br) 6.41 s (br) — 3.88 dd (br)	6.70 s (br) 6.43 s (br) — 3.88 dd (br)	5.33 dq 2.04 d (br) 1.48 dd 3.0 m	5.30 s (br) 1.55 d (br) 1.41 dd 3.01 ddd (br)	5.31 s (br) 2.02 d (br) 1.51 dd 2.95 m
H-8α' H-8β' H-9α' H-9β'	1.2–1.4 m	1.86 m 2.08 m 1.88 m 1.73 d (br)	1.87 m 2.07 m 1.87 m 1.73 d (br)	1.2–1.4 m	1.2-1.4 m	1.3–1.5 m
H-10' H-13' ₁ H-13' ₂	2.15 m 6.16 s (br) 5.53 s (br)	3.13 m 6.40 s (br) 5.70 s (br)	3.12 m 6.35 s (br) 5.70 s (br)	2.3 m 6.34 5.82 s (br)	2.12 m 6.10 s (br) 5.53 s (br)	2.28 m 6.42 s (br) 5.77 s (br)
H-14' H-15'	1.70 d 1.67 d	2.19 s (br) 1.27 d	2.24 s (br) 1.27 d	2.12 d 1.48 d	1.74 <i>d</i> 1.62 <i>d</i>	2.11 s (br) 1.46 d

J (Hz): compound 6: 3.5 = 3.15 = 1.5; 5.6 = 6.7 = 7.8 = 10; $7.13_1 = 7.13_2 = 3$; $8.9\alpha = 10$; $8.9\beta = 3$; $9\alpha.9\beta = 13$; $15_1.15_2 = 18$; 3'.5' = <1; 3'.15' = 1.2; 5'.6' = 2.5; 6'.7' = 3; $7'.8\alpha' = 6$; $7'.8\beta' = 8.5$; 10'.14' = 7; compounds 7 and 8: 6.7 = 7.8 = 10.5; $7.13_1 = 7_1.13_2 = 3$; $8.9\alpha = 10.5$; $8.9\beta = 2$; $9\alpha.9\beta = 13.5$; $15_1.15_2 = 18$; $7'.8\alpha' = 5.5$; $7'.8\beta' = 11.5$; $9\alpha'.9\beta' = 14$; 10'.14' = 7; (OAc: 2.32 s, 2.13 s); compound 9: 3.5 = 1.5; 5.6 = 6.7 = 7.8 = 10; $7.13_1 = 7.13_2 = 3$; $8.9\alpha = 10$; $8.9\beta = 2.5$; $9\alpha.9\beta = 13.5$; 3'.5' = 3'.15' = 1; 5'.6' = 6'.7' = 3; 10'.14' = 7; compound 12: 3.5 = 3.15 = 1.5; 5.6 = 6.7 = 7.8 = 10; 7.11 = 12; $8.9\alpha = 10$; $8.9\beta = 2.5$; $9\alpha.9\beta = 13.5$; 11.13 = 7; $15_1.15_2 = 18$; 3'.15' = 1.2; 5'.6' = 2.7; 6'.7' = 3; $7'.8\alpha' = 6$; $7'.8\beta' = 8$; 10'.14' = 7; compound 13: 5'.6' = 2.5; 6'.7' = 3; 10'.14' = 7.

classification is still a problem. Following the proposal of Tomb [7] those genera, where lactones of this type have been reported, belong to the Hypochoeris, Cichorium and Sonchus group [1-4], though only a few genera have been investigated so far. All reports are concerning with species of the genera Hypochoeris [1, 4], Lactuca [1], Crepis [1], Reichardia (= Picridium) [1] and Sonchus [1]. Only from an Urospermum [1] and a Taraxacum [3] species unusual germacranolides have been isolated, while from Sonchus [1] and also from Taraxacum [3] species also eudesmanolides were reported. More detailed investigations are necessary, however, to get a clearer picture of the whole tribe. The proposed relationship between the Lactuceae and the Veronieae is supported by the occurrence of guaianolides and large amounts of triterpenes, mainly of the lupane type, in both tribes. However, these compounds are also present in other tribes, though not to the same extent.

EXPERIMENTAL

The fresh plant material, grown from seeds (except Lactuca floridana, air-dried) was extracted with Et₂O-petrol, 1:2 and the resulting extracts were separated first by CC (Si gel) and further by repeated TLC (Si gel). Known compounds were identified by comparing their IR and ¹H NMR spectra with those of authentic material.

Hypochoeris unifiora (voucher 80/1462). The roots (200 g) afforded 80 mg lupeyl acetate together with its $\Delta 9,11$ and $\Delta 12,13$ isomers, (ca 2:1:1) and 2 mg 3 (Et₂O-petrol, 3:1), while the aerial parts (1.5 kg) only gave a complex mixture of unidentified triterpenes (ca 1 g) and 300 mg of fatty acids.

Hypochoeris glabra (voucher 80/1385). The roots (200 g) gave 30 mg 6 and 5 mg 12 (separated by repeated TLC, Et₂O–CH₂Cl₂–C₆H₆, 1:1:1) and the aerial parts (2.5 kg) 20 mg 6 and 5 mg 12.

Lactuca floridana (voucher LV 78/7). The roots (20 g) afforded 30 mg lupeylacetate and 20 mg of its $\Delta12,13$ isomer, while the aerial parts (400 g) gave 20 mg squalene, 50 mg lupeol and its $\Delta12,13$ isomer (ca 2:1), 1 mg 1 (Et₂O-petrol, 3:1), 5 mg 4, 1 mg 5 and 1 mg 10 (Et₂O-petrol, 3:1). 1 and 10 could not be separated. Stirring with 30 mg MnO₂ in 2 ml Et₂O gave 1 mg 2 and 1 mg 11 (TLC: Et₂O-CH₂Cl₂-C₆D₆, 1:1:1).

Lactucin-8-O-acetate (1). Not separated from 10, MnO_2 oxidation afforded 2, colourless gum, IR $\nu_{max}^{CHCI_3}$ cm⁻¹: 1785 (y-lactone), 1750 (OAc), 1700 (C=CCO); MS m/z (rel. int.): 316.095 (M⁺, 24) (C₁₇H₁₆O₆), 256 (M – AcOH, 35), 227 (256 – CHO, 12), 57 (100).

15-Dehydrolactucin-8-O-methacrylate (3). Colourless gum, IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{CHCl}_3}$ cm⁻¹: 1780 (γ -lactone), 1725 (C=CCO₂R, CHO), 1700 (C=CC=O); MS m/e (rel. int.): 342, 110 (M⁺, 5) (C₁₉H₁₈O₆), 256 (M - RCO₂H, 21), 69 (C₃H₅CO⁺, 100).

Lactucin-8-O-hypoglabrate (6). Colourless crystals, mp 181° (El₂O-C₆H₆); IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{CHCl}_3}$ cm⁻¹: 3610 (OH), 1775 (γ -lactone),

1710, 1645 (C=CCO₂R), 1690, 1620 (C=CC=O); MS m/z (rel. int.): 504.215 (M⁺, 1) (C₃₀H₃₂O₇), 486 (M - H₂O, 1), 259 (M - RCO₂, 33), 246 (RCO₂H, 53), 228 (246 - H₂O, 100), 213 (228 - Me, 87), 199 (228 - CHO, 53); 13 C NMR (CDCl₃): (C-1-C-15): 133.1 s, 194.4 s, 124.7 d, 171.7 s, 53.3 d, 81.0 d, 55.0 d, 69.6 d, 44.4 t, 165.3 s, 133.1 s, 143.9 s, 168.0 s, 121.8 t, 18.6 q, 62.5 t; (C-1'-C-15'): 39.2 s, 207.3 s, 133.7 d, 145.7 s, 37.4 d, 28.3 d, 48.6 d, 23.5 t, 27.1 t, 37.4 d, 136.4 s, 176.3 s, 126.3 t, 21.5 q, 17.6 q (some signals may be interchangeable, assignment by comparison with similar compounds only).

$$[\alpha]_{24^{\circ}}^{\lambda} = \frac{589}{+118} \frac{578}{+125} \frac{546}{+148} \frac{436 \text{ nm}}{+250} (c = 1.35, \text{ CHCl}_3).$$

20 mg 6 in MeOH were saponified with dil. KOH at room temp. The reaction was followed by TLC. After addition of acid TLC afforded 3 mg 5 and 2 mg 13, colourless gum, IR $v_{\rm col}^{\rm CCL}$ at 500–2700, 1695, 1620 (C=CCO₂H, C=CC=O); MS m/z (rel. int.): 246.131 (M⁺, 24) (C₁₅H₁₈O₃), 228 (M - H₂O, 70), 213 (228 - Me, 100), 200 (228 - CO, 33), 199 (228 - CHO, 35).

 $10\,\mathrm{mg}\,6$ on standing in CHCl₃ containing a trace of HCl at RT for 12 hr afforded 2 mg 7, colourless crystals, mp 135° (C_6H_6 -petrol). 2 mg 7 on heating with 0.1 ml Ac₂O at 70° (1 hr) afforded 1 mg 8, ($^1H\,\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum see Table 2). 3 mg 6 on stirring with 50 mg MnO₂ in Et₂O afforded 9 ($^1H\,\mathrm{NMR}$, see Table 2).

8α-Acetoxyjaquinelin (10). Only isolated as the aldehyde 11, colourless gum IR $\nu_{\text{max}}^{\text{CRCl}_3} \text{ cm}^{-1}$: 1785 (γ-lactone), 1755 (OAc), 1710 (C=CCO); MS m/z (rel. int.): 318.110 (M⁺, 11) (C₁₇H₁₈O₆), 258 (M – AcOH, 21), 57 (100).

8a-Hypoglabroyloxyjaquinelin (12). Colourless crystals, mp 190° (Et₂O-C₆H₆); IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 3610 (OH), 1790 (γ -lactone), 1720, 1655 (C=CCO₂R), 1695, 1630 (C=CCO); MS m/z (rel. int.): 506.230 (M⁺, 3) (C₃₀H₃₄O₇), 488 (M - H₂O, 1), 260 (M - RCO₂H, 10), 246 (RCO₂H⁺, 60), 228 (246 - H₂O, 66), 213 (228 - Me, 70), 69 (100).

REFERENCES

- Gonzalez, A. G. (1977) The Biology and Chemistry of the Compositae (Heywood, V. H., Harborne, J. B. and Turner, B. L., eds.) p. 1081. Academic Press, London.
- Fischer, N. H., Olivier, E. J. and Fischer, H. D. (1979) Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod. 38, 48.
- Hänsel, R., Kartarahardia, M., Huang, J. T. and Bohlmann, F. (1980) Phytochemistry 19, 857.
- 4. Bohlmann, F. and Bohlmann, R. (1980) Phytochemistry 19,
- Ruban, G., Zabel, V., Gensch, H. and Smallha, H. (1978) Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B 34, 1163.
- Bermejo, B. J., Breton-Funes, J. E. and Gonzalez, A. G. (1966)
 J. Chem. Soc. 1298.
- Tomb, A. S. (1977) The Biology and Chemistry of the Compositae (Heywood, V. H., Harborne, J. B. and Turner, B. L., eds.) p. 1071. Academic Press, London.